

PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 20 Oct 66 21/0030Z	2. LOCATION Minneapolis, Minnesota 2 witnesses
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Photo: Other (REFLECTION)
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 1	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 25-35 seconds	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Object should about 30 ft off the ground for 25-35 seconds and then took off at a angle of about 30 degrees and disappeared to the NE. Object was a steady white light. No sound was heard. Object was about 5 ft in diameter and about 10 ft high and moved like a coin coming to a stop when dropped on a flat surface. Photo analysis stated or attempted to photograph a general outdoor scene from indoor through a window, therefore recording a reflection of a light source. Observer stated object was about 25 ft in diameter, but if the object was a reflection of an interior light, the diameter is likely not to exceed one or two feet.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground Visual	
7. COURSE North East	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	



PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE TIME GROUP 30 Oct 66 2300Z	2. LOCATION Minneapolis, Minnesota (three witnesses)
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION (other) INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 15 seconds	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS SEE Case
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground Visual	
7. COURSE Varied	
8. PHOTOS <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

30 October

FTD (TDETR)

Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

16 November 1966


[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55428

Dear Miss [REDACTED]

Reference your unidentified observation which you reported in your letter postmarked 7 November 1966. The information contained in your letter was not sufficient for evaluation. Request you complete the attached FTD Form 164 and return it in the envelope provided.

We wish to thank you for reporting your observation to the Air Force.

Sincerely,

 HECTOR QUINTANILLA, Jr, Major, USAF
Chief, Project Blue Book

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Minnesota
55428



Project Bluebook
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base
Dayton, Ohio

[REDACTED]
Mpls., Minnesota

55428

Project Bluebook
c/o Foreign Technology Division of the Air Force Systems Command
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base
Dayton, Ohio

Dear Sirs;

I know that you probably not believe me because of my age, but I saw a UFO. Here are the facts:

Time/place of sighting: October 30, 1947 at about 5:55 to 6:00 local time/Minneapolis, Minnesota. (The village of New Hope to be exact.)

Length of sighting: About 15 seconds.

Color: Bright yellow, so bright that it was almost white.

Distance from ground: As high as a usual power line.

Number of people watching: 3; myself, age 15 (I have been studying the UFO's for about 6 months and I know that this wasn't a regular plane or anything we make because I live only a short distance from an airport.) my girlfriend [REDACTED], age 12, and [REDACTED] sister [REDACTED], age 9 and in the 4th grade. We all live on the same street.

~~Size:~~

Size: We can't exactly say because we were a short distance away from the place, but they seemed about 12 inches in diameter. There were 4 objects in this formation; they made no sound that we could hear

o o

o o

but that might be because of the distance.

What it did: We first noticed it as we were watching the planes come in to land at the Crystal airport. We had been watching for about 10 minutes when I looked to my right and saw something as low as and seeming to be going along the power lines. We all sat watching it as it went slowly along the power lines. We knew that it wasn't a plane going in to land because it didn't go down towards the ground as a plane does to come in to the runway. It just went slowly along the lines. We watched it as long as we could & then it went behind a house and we never saw it again. Between the 4 lights there were streaks of light like this;

only the lights were closer. (ooo)

I hope that if you can find the time, you can find out what this was. If I see it again I will let you know.

Very sincerely yours,
[REDACTED]

Send 104

January 7, 1967
Plum City, Wisconsin

Project Blue Book Information Office
SAFOI
Washington, D. C. 20330

Dear Sirs:

I am writing in regards to a sighting of an UFO made by my brother and his cousin. He is a sophomore in high school and for some reason does not want to pursue this matter. However, to satisfy my own curiosity, I would like an explanation. The sighting was made at 49th. St. and Dupont Ave. So. in Minneapolis, Minnesota. The time was about 6:30 P. M. on October 20, 1966. The way my brother and his cousin describe the sighting is as follows: The UFO hovered at about 30 ft. for 25 to 35 seconds and then took off at an angle of about 30 degrees and disappeared to the north east. It was a steady white light and made no sound. It was about 5 ft. in diameter and 10 ft. high and moved like a coin coming to a stop when dropped on a flat surface. The weather was clear.

He happened to have his polaroid camera with him and took the enclosed picture. Both he and his cousin are quite reliable and I am sure they described this just as it appeared to them. Because my brother does not want any publicity or involvement with this, please mail any correspondence you may have concerning this to me; [REDACTED] Plum City, Wisconsin 54761.

I teach science and biology and I am very interested in an explanation for this because I am unable to come up with any.

Sincerely
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Feb 7, 1967

Dear [REDACTED]

In regards to your reply to my letter of Jan. 7th. Concerning the UFO sighted by my brother and his cousin.

He does not have the negative, but does have the original print. He also says he is now willing to cooperate fully in this matter and will try to answer any questions that you may have. His name and address are,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Bemidji, Minnesota.

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Plum City, Wis. 54261

FEB 28 1967

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]:

We have been informed by Mr. [REDACTED] that you have reconsidered and are now willing to let the Air Force evaluate your recent unidentified flying object photo.

If you will forward the original negative, we will analyze it. If you were not using the type of film in your Polaroid camera which produces both a photo and a negative, I would appreciate receiving the original photo. The photo and/or negative will be returned to you undamaged.

In order to analyze the photos adequately we will need the following camera information:

- (a) Type and make of camera.
- (b) Type, focal length, and make of lens.
- (c) Brand and type of film.
- (d) Shutter speed used.
- (e) Lens opening used; that is "f" stop.
- (f) Filters used.
- (g) Was tripod or solid stand used.
- (h) Was "panning" used.
- (i) Exact direction camera was pointing with relation to true North, and its angle with respect to the ground.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

GEORGE P. FREEMAN, JR.
Lt Colonel, USAF
Chief, Civil Branch
Community Relations Division
Office of Information

Mr. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Bemidji, Minnesota 56601

COORDINATED By (Office Symbol, Name, Grade, Date)				
SAFOI- CC	SAFOI-			Coord cy - SAF-OIC
69				Comb cy - SAF-OIC
<i>[Signature]</i>				Reader cy - SAF-OIC
				Activity cy - SAF-OIC
				Stayback

20 Oct 66

SAF-OICC Cassel/ua/79079/12Jan67

JAN 12 1967

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]:

This replies to your letter of January 7th in which you forwarded the photo of the unidentified flying object (UFO).

The Air Force is very interested in this photo. Although we understand this is a polaroid shot, would you please check with your brother and cousin and see if they were using the type film in which there is a negative as well as a print. We would certainly like to have the original negative for analysis. It will be returned undamaged upon completion of our analysis and we will notify you of the results.

Thank you for your letter; we hope to hear from you again soon.

Sincerely,

GEORGE P. FREEMAN, JR.
Lt Colonel, USAF
Chief, Civil Branch
Community Relations Division
Office of Information

Mr. [REDACTED]

Plum City, Wisconsin 54761

File
67
SAF-OICC

Coord cy - SAF-OIC
Comb cy - SAF-OIC
Reader cy - SAF-OIC
Activity cy - SAF-OIC
Stayback

PHOTO ANALYSIS REPORT

NR 67-25

PAGE 1 OF 1 PAGES

DATE OF REPORT 12 April 1967

SUBJECT UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

LOCATION MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA

DATE 20 OCTOBER 1966

PHOTOGRAPHY

AF _____ IR _____ QUALITY Fair
 P NRS 1 Print (B & W)

1. PURPOSE: This report is in response to Work Order Number 67-37 submitted by Major Quintanilla (TDETR), to determine the identity of the object photographed.

2. ANALYSIS: The photography appears genuine insofar as content is concerned. No satisfactory explanation could be made of the unidentified object. Examination of the submitted print has negated retouching. Attempts to make a double exposure could not be defined. Source stated the object was photographed with a Poloroid camera, yet two small black dots appear on the frame border indicating that automatic machine processing was used to develop the print. As a result, it is impossible to determine whether the print was made from an original Poloroid or other type negative. The camera focal length is therefore unknown and a scaling factor to size the object was precluded. The object appears to be circular in planform and basically flat in cross-section. A small degree of highlighting is visible along the top center of the object. The unidentified object is possibly a result of an attempt at photographing a general outdoor scene from indoors through a window, and recording the reflection of a light source (i.e. an illuminated floor lamp or other type of shaded interior light). Source stated that the object was about 25 feet in diameter; but if the object is a reflection of an interior light, the diameter is likely not to exceed one or two feet.

PHOTO ANALYSIS BY:

Douglas H. Rogers

DOUGLAS H. ROGERS
 Intelligence Research Specialist

APPROVED BY:

William L. Turner
 WILLIAM L. TURNER
 Major, USAF
 Chief, Photo Analysis Branch

Wilber Price, Jr.
 WILBER PRICE, JR.
 Chief, Photo Exploitation Division

This case contains 1, 4"x5"
negative and 1, 8"x10" photograph.

26 Oct 66

SAFOICC/Maj Rademacher/jad/79079/12 Jun 67

JUN 12 1967

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]:

Reference your recent correspondence concerning a sighting and subsequent photograph taken by your brother of an unidentified flying object (UFO).

Examination of the submitted photograph negated retouching. The photographer stated the object was photographed with a Polaroid camera, yet two small black dots appear on the frame border indicating that automatic machine processing was used to develop the print. As a result, it is impossible to determine whether the print was made from an original Polaroid or other type negative. The camera focal length is therefore unknown and a scaling factor to size the object was precluded. The only probable explanation is that the object is a result of an attempt at photographing a general outdoor scene from indoors through a window, and recording the reflection of a light source.

Thank you for reporting your observation to the Air Force.

Sincerely,

BARNETT B. YOUNG
Lt Colonel, USAF
Civil Branch
Community Relations Division
Office of Information

1 Attachment
Two Prints

Mr. [REDACTED]

Plum City, Wisconsin 54761

COORDINATED BY: SAFOI-CC

=

SAFOIC Coord cy

Cmbk cy

Rdrs cy

Acty cy

Stbk cy

Project Blue Book's Negative

No.

Name

Order

Remarks

Retouched

Order Finished

Reorder

Reorder

Negative made by U.S.
3-7-57